26th November 1952]

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—" I might tell my hon. Friend that the Planning Commission has given me time till December 1953 to produce the report. I shall try to bring it out much earlier than the scheduled time."

(Sri P. Syamasundara Rao stood up.)

MR. SPEAKER :—" The House should realize my difficulties I have already received a large number of answers. More answers are pouring in from the Departments. I am placing about 24 questions each day before the House. Therefore it is not possible to allow all hon. Members to put supplementaries."

SRI P. SYAMASUNDARA RAO:—" ప్రశ్న వేసినది నేను. శ్యామసుందరరావును నేనే కాని నాకింతవరకు ఉపప్రశ్న వేసేందుకు chance ఇవ్వలేదు."

SRI T. VISWANATHAM :—" The hon. Member says that he put the main question and that he was not allowed to put supplementaries."

MR. SPEAKER :—" If he is sitting quiet, how is it possible for me to call him? He must get up in his seat."

Memorandum submitted to the Hon. the Prime Minister of India.

* 155 *Q.*—SRI A. RAMASAMY THEVAR (on behalf of Sri K. T. Kosalram) : Will the Hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the Government presented any memorandum to the Hon. the Prime Minister of India;

(*b*) if so, whether the Government will place a copy of it on the table of the House;

(*c*) whether the memorandum was pressed by the representatives of this Government in the recent Finance Ministers' Conference at New Delhi; and

(*d*) if so, what is the outcome?

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—" (*a*) Yes, Sir.

" (*b*) The subject is still under correspondence with the Government of India. The Government do not consider it proper to publish such correspondence.

" (*c*) & (*d*) The question as far as it was relevant and in the form in which it could with propriety be brought to notice at the Conference was so brought to notice.

" The Government of India accepted the principle of taking into consideration the question of providing in the National Plan for unforeseen expenditure on natural calamities and other similar contingencies arising in any part of India."

SRI T. NAGI REDDI :—" ఏదైనా మెమొరాండం ప్రభుత్వము ఇచ్చారా అని ఇందులో ప్రశ్న వేశారు. ప్రభుత్వము ఎన్నో మెమొరాండములు ఇచ్చినం

[26th November 1952

టుంది. కను యీ ప్రశ్న ఏ మెమొరాండమునకు సంబంధించినటువంటిదో మరియు మంత్రిగారిచ్చినటువంటి జవాబు ఏ మెమొరాండమునకు సంబంధించినటువంటిదో సెలవిస్తారా?"

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI:—"The Prime Minister was here only once and I gave him only one memorandum at the time and that is why I have said 'Yes' in answer to the question."

Location of a Sub-Magistrate's Court in Punganur.

* 156 *Q.*—SRI B. KRISHNAMURTHY RAO: Will the Hon. the Minister for Law be pleased to state why the Government have not thought it fit to locate a Sub-Magistrate's Court in Punganur?

THE HON. SRI K. P. KUTTIKRISHNAN NAIR:—"It was considered that the volume of work arising in and around Punganur did not justify the stationing of a full-time Sub-Magistrate at that place. Prior to the introduction of the scheme of separation in Chittoor district, the Deputy Tahsildar of Punganur was exercising magisterial functions which was terminated when separation was ordered. The jurisdiction over Punganur taluk has been conveniently divided between the Stationary Sub-Magistrates at Madanapalle and Piler each of them exercising jurisdiction over the adjoining area of Punganur taluk."

SRI K. VARADACHARI:—"May I ask, Sir, whether the Government have noted the fact that Piler where the present Magistrate's Court is situated is nearly 70 miles from Punganur and that people find it difficult to cover such long distances?"

THE HON. SRI K. P. KUTTIKRISHNAN NAIR:—"Sir, the Government considered the question in 1950. The Committee was also asked to examine it. It was found that the present arrangement was the most suitable to the litigant public."

SRI V. CHIDANANDAM:—"Is it not necessary, Sir, irrespective of the distance and the number of cases tried, that a Sub-Magistrate's Court should be there for each taluk?"

THE HON. SRI K. P. KUTTIKRISHNAN NAIR:—"It will be only waste of time if such a rule were to be adopted."

SRI T. N. VENKATASUBBA REDDI:—"May I bring it to the notice of the Government, as my hon. Friend just now said, that some people have to travel for three days to go to the Piler Sub-Magistrate's Court? The Hon. Minister just now said that the Committee recommended that the present arrangement was adequate. Whatever the recommendations of the Committee may be, I request the Government to open a court at Punganur and thus remove the difficulty experienced by the people?"